arXiv:1610.09356v1 [math.CV] 28 Oct 2016

A NONPOLYNOMIALLY CONVEX ISOTROPIC TWO-TORUS WITH NO ATTACHED DISCS

PURVI GUPTA

Abstract. We show — with the means of an example in $\mathbb{C}^3$ — that Gromov's theorem on the presence of attached holomorphic discs for compact Lagrangian manifolds is not true in the isotropic (subcritical) case, even in the absence of an obvious obstruction, i.e, polynomial convexity.

A compact set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called *polynomially convex* if, for every $z \notin X$, there is a holomorphic polynomial P such that $|P(z)| > \sup_{x\in X} |P(x)|$. It is known that no real compact n-dimensional submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (without boundary) can be polynomially convex. In the particular case when the inclusion $\iota : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is maximally *isotropic* (or Lagrangian) with respect to $\omega_{\text{st}} = i\sum_1^n dz_j \wedge d\overline{z_j}$, i.e., $\iota^*(\omega_{\text{st}}) = 0$, Gromov ([5]) proves a stronger statement: there is a *holomorphic disc attached to* M, i.e., there is a nonconstant holomorphic map from the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$ that is continuous up to the boundary and maps $\partial\mathbb{D}$ into M. Gromov's result is not true in the subcritical case (when $\dim M < n$) as there are several examples of polynomially convex isotropic surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

In this note, we confirm that Gromov's result is not true in the subcritical case, even in the absence of polynomial convexity. We produce an explicit nonpolynomially convex two-torus $T \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ that is isotropic with respect to ω_{st}, but has no holomorphic discs attached to it. Note that the isotropicity of T implies that it is both totally real and rationally convex (see [4]). Examples of totally real tori with no attached holomorphic discs have been given by Alexander ([1]) and Duval-Gayet ([3]) in $\mathbb{C}^2$, but such examples cannot be rationally convex in view of Duval-Sibony (see [4, Theorem 3.1]) and Gromov's result. In the case of manifolds with boundary, Duval has constructed an example of a nonpolynomially convex Lagrangian surface in $\mathbb{C}^2$ that has no attached discs (see [2] or [4]).

Theorem 1. *There is a two-torus in $\mathbb{C}^3$ that is isotropic with respect to ω_{st}, not polynomially convex, but has no holomorphic discs attached to it.*

Proof. Let $p(z, w) := 1 - 4z^2 + 4w^2 - z^2w^2$ and

$$T := \left\{ \Big(z, w, \operatorname{Re} p(z, w)\Big) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z, w \in \partial\mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Being the graph of a real-valued function on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 := \partial\mathbb{D} \times \partial\mathbb{D}$, T is isotropic with respect to ω_{st}. We will show that T is not polynomially convex, and its polynomial hull (defined below) consists of T and an attached annulus.

Before we proceed, we fix some notation. If $A \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$ and $f : \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, then $\mathcal{G}_f(A) = \{(z, w, f(z, w)) \subset \mathbb{C}^3 : (z, w) \in A\}$ denotes the graph of $f|_A$. If $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$, $\mathcal{G}_f(\{\zeta\})$ is simplified to $\mathcal{G}_f(\zeta)$. For a compact $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the *polynomial hull* of X is the set $\widehat{X} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |P(z)| \leq \sup_{x\in X} |P(x)|\}$.

Now, let $f(z, w) := \text{Re}(p(z, w))$. In our notation, $T = \mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We first consider $T_1 := \mathcal{G}_{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We claim that

$$\widehat{T_1} = T_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z}), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{Z} = \left\{(z, w) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2 : w^2 = \frac{4z^2-1}{4-z^2}\right\}$. Since $p|_{\mathcal{Z}} \equiv 0$, $\mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}$. Moreover, by a computation due to Rudin (see [7, proof of Theorem B]) $\mathcal{Z}$ is a connected finite Riemann surface of genus 0 with two boundary components in $\mathbb{T}^2$ — i.e, $\mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z})$ is an annulus attached to T_1.

To prove (1), we use a technique due to Jimbo (see [6]). Following the notation in [6], let

$$\begin{aligned} &h(z, w) = (zw)^{-2}(z^2w^2 - 4w^2 + 4z^2 - 1);\\ &L = (\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}); \text{and}\\ &V = \{(z, w) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{T}^2 \cup L) : \overline{p(z, w)} = h(z, w)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h(z, w) = \overline{p(z, w)}$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$. Next, we compute

$$\Delta(z, w) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}(z, w) & \frac{\partial p}{\partial w}(z, w) \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(z, w) & \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}(z, w) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -8z - 2zw^2 & 8w - 2z^2w \\ \frac{8}{z^3} + \frac{2}{z^3w^2} & -\frac{8}{w^3} + \frac{2}{z^2w^3} \end{vmatrix},$$

to obtain $\Delta(z, w) = -16(zw)^{-3}(z-iw)(z+iw)p(z, w)$. Setting $q_1 = (z-iw)$, $q_2 = z + iw$, $q_3 = p(z, w)$, and $Q_j := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : q_j(z, w) = 0\}$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \{(z, iz) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 : z \in \partial\mathbb{D}\};\\ Q_2 &= \{(z, -iz) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 : z \in \partial\mathbb{D}\}; \text{and}\\ Q_3 &= \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \partial\mathcal{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In [6], Jimbo showed that if $\Delta(z, w) \not\equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus L$ and

$$J := \{1 \leq j \leq 3 : \emptyset \neq Q_j \neq \widehat{Q_j},\ \widehat{Q_j} \setminus (\mathbb{T}^2 \cup L) \subset V\} \neq \emptyset,$$

then

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}_{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2)} = \mathcal{G}_{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2) \cup \bigcup_{j\in J} \{(z, w, \overline{p(z, w)}) : (z, w) \in \widehat{Q_j}\},$$

and p restricts to a constant on each $\widehat{Q_j}$, $j \in J$. In view of (2), $J = \{3\}$, $\widehat{Q_3} = \mathcal{Z}$ and, since $p|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \overline{p}|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$, (1) holds — i.e., there is only one annulus attached to T_1. Since $\mathbb{T}^2$ is totally real and rationally convex, and $\overline{p}$ is smooth, $T_1 = \mathcal{G}_{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is totally real and rationally convex. Due to a result by Duval and Sibony (see [4]), T_1 is isotropic with respect to some Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^3$. But, $\iota^*(\omega_{\text{st}}) \neq 0$, where $\iota : T_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is the inclusion map.

We now return to $T := \mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Since f is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}^2$, we are in the context of a result due to Samuelsson and Wold (see [8, Theorem 1.2]) which discusses the presence of analytic structure in the polynomial hulls of a graph $\mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)$, when f admits a pluriharmonic extension to $\mathbb{D}^2$. In the course of their proof, they show that

(*i*) Either f is holomorphic on some disc in $\partial\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \mathbb{T}^2$, or $\widehat{\mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)} \subset \mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{D}^2 \cup \mathbb{T}^2)$, i.e., any closed variety in $\widehat{\mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)} \cap \mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is automatically attached to $\mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

(*ii*) In the latter case, there is a discrete set $A \subset \{\partial p = 0\} \subset \mathbb{D}^2$ such that for any $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{D}^2 \setminus A$ with $\mathcal{G}_f(\zeta_0) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)}$, the irreducible component $\mathcal{Z}_0$ of the variety $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}^2 : p(\zeta) = p(\zeta_0)\}$ containing ζ_0 is attached to $\mathbb{T}^2$.

Since, f is not holomorphic on any of the discs in $\partial\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \mathbb{T}^2$, (*ii*) holds. Now, $A \subseteq \{\partial p = 0\} = \{(0,0)\}$. So, if $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ is such that $\mathcal{G}_f(\zeta_0) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)}$, then the irreducible component $\mathcal{Z}_0$ of $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}^2 : p(\zeta) = \overline{p(\zeta_0)}\}$ containing ζ_0 is attached to $\mathbb{T}^2$. Since $\overline{p}|_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ is the constant function $\overline{p(\zeta_0)}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{p}}(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ is an algebraic variety attached to $T_1 = \mathcal{G}_{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and hence must belong to the polynomial hull of T_1. By (1), the only possibility is that $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $f(\zeta_0) = 0$. Thus,

$$\widehat{T} = \widehat{\mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)} \subseteq T \cup \mathcal{G}_f(\mathcal{Z}) \cup \mathcal{G}_f\left((0,0)\right).$$

Since $\widehat{T}$ contains no isolated points and $f|_{\mathcal{Z}} \equiv 0$, $\widehat{T} = T \cup \mathcal{G}_f(\mathcal{Z})$. As there are no nontrivial holomorphic discs attached to an annulus, there are none attached to T. □

References

[1] H. Alexander. Disks with boundaries in totally real and Lagrangian manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 100(1):131–138, 1999.

[2] J. Duval. Convexité rationnelle des surfaces lagrangiennes. *Invent. Math.*, 104(1):581–599, 1991.

[3] J. Duval and D. Gayet. Riemann surfaces and totally real tori. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 89(2):299–312, 2014.

[4] J. Duval and N. Sibony. Polynomial convexity, rational convexity, and currents. *Duke Math. J.*, 79(2):487–513, 1995.

[5] M. Gromov. Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 82(2):307–347, 1985.

[6] T. Jimbo. Polynomial hulls of graphs on the torus in $\mathbb{C}^2$. *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, 62(3):335, 2005.
[7] W. Rudin. Pairs of inner functions on finite Riemann surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140:423–434, 1969.
[8] H. Samuelsson and E. F. Wold. Uniform algebras and approximation on manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 188(3):505–523, 2012.

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada

E-mail address: pgupta45@uwo.ca